| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 10/009,287 | JANKA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D. | 1797 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | _ |
| (1) <u>Yelena G. Gakh,</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Joseph Trolen</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 22 July 2008 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: 19, 21, 23 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: the Applicants agree with the examiner's suggestion to rejoin the method claims and with the proposed examiner's amendment to the rejoined claims | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representat | ive Signature – if appropriate) |
| | | |